To: Soderstrom, Richard[Richard.Soderstrom@fnf.com]

Cc: Mark.Koontz@ci.bremerton.wa.us[Mark.Koontz@ci.bremerton.wa.us]; Winship,

Scott[swinship@vjglaw.com]; VC[vicki.carrillo@neillaw.com]

From: Jeanie Correa

Sent: Tue 10/28/2014 4:56:36 PM

Subject: Re: CITY OF BREMERTON vs SESKO JUDGMENTS

The 2008 is the 2005 judgments combined, they consolidated them... So if I don't put 2008, then the 2008 judgment is still out there. Does that make sense...

They took the April 15, 2005 Judgment of \$79,792.19 and the March 11, 2005 Judgment of \$172,462.26 and consolidated them in the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Consolidated entered with the Court on Jan. 26, 2007, See item C and D of that Order. Then on March 7, 2008 the entered a Judgment for \$252,254.14 which is \$172,462.26 and \$79,792.19 added together... Thus the Judgment entered on March 7, 2008 are Judgments March 11 2005 and April 15, 2005. A new Judgment number looks like it is assigned to that consolidation judgment.

Thus I need to clear up all the judgments out there.... So are you wanting me to prepare another Satisfaction of Judgment for the March 7, 2008 one and have three Satisfactions of Judgments???

Jeanie Correa Paralegal for Christopher E. Neil

jeanie.correa@neillaw.com

Neil & Neil, P.S. phone: 253 475-8600 fax: 253 473-5746

> Jeanie.

Please note: This email address is not monitored at all times. If your matter is urgent, please phone this office. Also, this message is not encrypted. Therefore, it may not be secure or protected by attorney-client privilege..

> I am not sure why the 'and/or 'on each satisfaction. Both 2005 judgments MUST definitely be satisfied and

On Oct 28, 2014, at 4:34 PM, Soderstrom, Richard <Richard.Soderstrom@fnf.com> wrote:

```
> thanks
> Dick Soderstrom
> Fidelity National Title
> Senior Title Officer
```

not just the 2008 one.

> "You'll Appreciate Our Service "

> 9619 Levin Road NW > Silverdale, WA 98383 > Phone: (360) 692-4556| Fax: (360) 698-7509

> Richard.Soderstrom@fnf.com

> ? Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail >

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jeanie Correa [mailto:jeanie.correa@neillaw.com]

> Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2014 4:14 PM

To: Mark.Koontz@ci.bremerton.wa.us
 Cc: Winship, Scott; Soderstrom, Richard
 Subject: CITY OF BREMERTON vs SESKO JUDGMENTS
 Mr. Koontz...

> I am one of Christopher Neil's paralegal, and I have been asked to work on some of title issues. Per your conversation with Mr. Neil and attorney Winship, you had forwarded an agreement and a Satisfaction of the Judgments... Unfortunately title cannot recognize them as being satisfied and have asked us to prepare new Satisfactions of Judgment that will take care of the problem. Attached are drafts of the Satisfactions of Judgment as well as copies of the actual Judgments.

> These documents are drafts and I am waiting for final approval from all parties before having you execute them. Please review in detail and let me know if you think anything needs to be changed to make it clear that the judgments have been paid off.

> Thank you for your attention to this matter.

> P.S. Those of you receiving a copy of this email, would you please review the Satisfactions and let me know if you want any changes to them before we have attorney Koontz sign them. Thank you.

> NOTICE: The information contained in this message is proprietary and/or confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified to: (i) delete the message and all copies; (ii) do not disclose, distribute or use the message in any manner; and (iii) notify the sender immediately.